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Hanson, Z 1; Davis, D 1; Robison, J 1; Minter, J 2

Abstract

We report a case of a 23-year-old female with a history of congenital proximal femoral deformity and mal-union of a prior proximal femoral corrective osteotomy, who presented seeking treatment for debilitating end-stage arthritis of her hip. Consideration for total hip arthroplasty (THA) for this patient was complicated by her young age and the complexity of her proximal femoral deformity. A 3-dimensional bone model of the patient’s femur was created using digital reconstructive software based on preoperative CT-imaging and used to plan our corrective osteotomy and arthroplasty component specifications. Using the detailed characterization of the femoral morphology, custom cutting guides were designed to fit uniquely into the correct position and ensure a high degree of accuracy with our osteotomy cuts. This unique case highlights the use of 3D-modeling software and printing technology for detailed surgical planning and precise execution in patients with complex deformities or otherwise abnormal anatomy.

Background

Proximal femoral deformity (PFD) may refer to varus or valgus femoral neck-shaft angles, rotational malalign-ments, leg-length discrepancies or any combination. In adults, PFD may be caused by a number of congenital (e.g., fibrous dysplasia, coxa vara, developmental dysplasia) or acquired etiologies (e.g., infection, fracture malunion, pri-or proximal femoral osteotomy). [1,2] Regardless of etiology, PFD alters lower extremity mechanical alignment and creates abnormal joint surface stress, leading to accelerated joint surface wear and early arthritis [3–7].

One of the most important treatment goals in these patients is early deformity correction with proximal femoral osteotomy (PFO) to restore mechanical alignment of the lower extremity, provide pain relief, improve gait and overall function. [8] Even after early intervention, many of these patients go on to develop significant hip osteoarthritis at a relatively young age, in which case arthroplasty may be considered. Standard hip replacement techniques and prostheses are typically unsuitable for patients with significant PFD; abnormal femoral morphology may limit adequate component placement and the altered mechanical alignment can lead to accelerated component wear and increased rates of aseptic loosening. Performing adjunctive proximal femoral osteotomies in these patients can restore bony anatomy, simplifying the procedure and improving arthroplasty implant survival.

Proximal femoral deformity correction is technically
challenging and surgical planning must consider osteotomies to address malalignment, often in multiple planes, as well as choice of hardware to facilitate the degree of surgical correction. [9–13] Even after thorough preparation it can be difficult to accurately replicate the degree of correction planned preoperatively. Advances in computer technologies has led to surgeons seeking support through navigation systems, digital planning tools, and more recently, 3D digital reconstruction and 3D printing techniques to more effectively plan and improve surgical precision to achieve more reliable outcomes.

Case History

A 23-year-old female presented to our clinic with the complaint of constant right-sided groin and thigh pain, which worsened with activity. Her symptoms had developed gradually and progressed in severity over several years. At the time of presentation, she reported difficulties with activities of daily living including stair climbing and application of shoes and socks to the affected extremity, and a maximum ambulatory distance of a quarter mile. The patient’s history was significant for severe right-sided femoral deformity due to a slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE), for which she had undergone in-situ pinning and attempted deformity correction with a Southwick (subtrochanteric) osteotomy at an outside medical facility. Of note, the patient also had significant pelvic tilt and spinal deformity due to scoliosis. All retained femoral hardware had been removed years prior to our initial encounter.

On physical exam the patient’s right hip exhibited significantly restricted range of motion with approximately 60 degrees of flexion, 20 degrees of abduction, 15 degrees of adduction, 15 degrees of external rotation and 0 degrees of internal rotation. On strength examination of the hip, the patient exhibited 4/5 strength with hip abduction, adduction and hip flexion. Limb lengths were measured from umbilicus to medial malleolus and determined to have approximately 3 cm of discrepancy (96 cm on the right and 99 cm on the left). She had a short limbed, antalgic, non-Trendelenburg gait. Interestingly, the patient exhibited hyper-mobility at her elbow, wrist and knee joints bilaterally.

Radiographs demonstrated a complex multi-angular deformity with severe osteoarthritis of the right hip. Evidence of this patient’s original SCFE deformity is seen on plain films (Fig. 1), which demonstrate coxa vara and proximal femoral retroversion. An extension deformity has developed due to posteroinferior displacement of the femoral head in relation to the femoral neck. The metaphysis of the anterolateral neck has formed a CAM-type lesion due to abutment against the anterior acetabulum. Dense sclerotic cortical bone is noted with gross shortening of the extremity and associated pelvic tilt. The degree of femoral retroversion and anterior metaphyseal abutment here are consistent with the restrictions to hip flexion and internal rotation seen on clinical exam.

The patient presented after having delayed surgery as long as possible, though she was now at a point where her pain and functional limitations warranted intervention. While the degree of arthritis present warranted treatment with joint reconstruction, the complexity of her deformity prohibited conventional arthroplasty techniques; her abnormal canal morphology increased the risk for intraoperative complications (e.g., fracture), poor fit of standard components and, in combination with her altered joint mechanics, early implant failure.

To address these concerns, surgical treatment would involve deformity correction with proximal femoral osteotomies and subsequent total hip arthroplasty. Given the complexity and multi-plane nature of the deformity, 3-dimensional (3D) surgical planning using CustomLINK (Waldemar Link GmbH and Co.; Hamburg, Germany) was used to more accurately plan osteotomy cuts that provide the desired angular correction. Based on fine-cut CT images (coronal and sagittal reformations), digital reformatting software was used to generate a 3D-model of the right hemipelvis and femur (Fig. 2). A dedicated engineering team was available to assist with osteotomy planning, design custom-cutting guides and provide input on implant size and design.
In collaboration with the engineering team, a single osteotomy was planned at a location which would provide optimal angular correction (Fig. 3). Planning a single osteotomy was ideal, provided it achieved sufficient deformity correction, because it allowed for a simplified, “one and done” design for a cutting jig. A custom cutting-guide based on this patient’s unique bony topography was designed using 3D printing technology and used to help ensure the angular correction planned preoperatively was replicated during surgery (Fig. 4).

The surgery was made difficult due to the prior surgical procedures, dense scar and contractures. Simple mobilization of the soft tissues, scar resection and tenotomies (psoas insertion and proximal adductors as well as the external rotators) allowed improved visualization. The custom cutting guide utilized for the osteotomy conformed to the patient’s anatomy over the anterolateral femur in the preplanned site (Fig. 4). The custom jig was secured with smooth, trocar tipped 3.2 mm pins for fixation and the osteotomy was performed; after our osteotomy the bone ends were directly apposed which confirmed the adequacy of our cuts. The patient’s cortical bone was extremely sclerotic and required the use of a high-speed drill to prepare the proximal canal. Standard reamers for the femoral implant were passed onto the distal segment beyond the osteotomy site. Once the final femoral implant was seated with bony apposition noted the osteotomy site, demineralized bone matrix putty was applied with a contoured plate and cable construct applied. The patient had an uneventful recovery and was allowed to fully weight bear and participate in physical therapy.

Postoperatively the patient had 2 out of 10 pain which went on to resolve completely. She had no residual groin or thigh pain. At 2 years postoperatively, the patient can walk unlimited distances and stair climb with an alternating gait. Her limb length inequality is significantly improved at 1.5 cm compared to 3 cm preoperatively. On exam she has 80 degrees of hip flexion, 20 degrees of abduction, 10 degrees
adduction, 20 degrees of external rotation and 10 degrees of internal rotation. Her hip muscular strength is now graded at 5/5.

Imaging 2-years postoperatively reveals a well fixed and aligned THA, though with persistent diastasis noted at the osteotomy site. It should be noted that at the time the intraoperative cuts were made, the bone edges were noted to be well-opposed, though the patient’s bone in this area was sclerotic and noted to have poor bleeding quality. Postoperative radiographs demonstrated gradual resorption of bone around the osteotomy site, with the most recent radiographs showing persistent diastasis with incomplete bridging, though close inspection does find bridging bone across the titanium grit blasted stem. We suspect that because of the poor bone quality noted in this area in conjunction with stress shielding from the diaphyseal-fitting stem, the patient may not ever develop quality bridging in this area (Fig. 6).

Figure 6. Radiographs showing an (a) anteroposterior view of the pelvis and a (b) cross-table lateral view of the right hip obtained 2 years postoperatively. Imaging shows the implants remain in good position and good diaphyseal fit and bony on-growth distally without evidence of loosening. Osteotomy gap remains visible though scant bridging callous can be seen adjacent to the femoral stem.

Discussion

Proximal femoral deformities can lead to serious functional deficits and joint pain ultimately requiring treatment with end-prosthetic reconstruction. For cases with severe deformity, corrective osteotomy and THA are both needed. Corrective osteotomy can restore normal bone and soft tissue anatomy and re-establish mechanical alignment of the lower extremity. [14] THA can relieve pain and improve the limb function. [15]

3D-imaging software utilizes preoperative CT imaging to construct a 3D model which can be a valuable resource for virtual preoperative surgical planning as well as allow for preoperative collaboration with an engineering team for biomechanical validation of surgical plan. 3D surgical planning in conjunction with 3D printing technology has allowed for the development of custom surgical templates and choice of optimal implant specifics based on biomechanical evaluation. [16] The importance of a properly aligned corrective osteotomy in this case cannot be overstated for this young patient, as it will affect the long-term viability of her THA implants.

Choice of osteotomy size and position is key in providing optimal angular correction without creating a new deformity, and requires both thorough surgical planning and precise execution. [17] The optimal osteotomy should involve a minimal number of cuts and aim to re-establishing neck-shaft angle and restore the lower limb mechanical axis. [18] While classic freehand techniques have traditionally been used for corrective osteotomies, results tend to have larger deviations from the target correction as compared with patient-specific cutting guides (PSGs). PSGs assists in locating the correct osteotomy plane, as the guide fits uniquely into the correct position determined preoperatively. PSG for osteotomies have been associated with higher precision compared to freehand techniques, decreased radiation exposure, shorter operative times and decreased overall blood loss. [19–22]

In this case, a single lateral closing wedge osteotomy was planned in a position to address malalignment in both the coronal and sagittal planes. After osteotomy planning, custom cutting jigs were designed to fit the unusual deformity in this patient and allowed for accurate replication of our planned osteotomy and the desired degree of correction.

Use of long-stemmed femoral implants has been advocated in patients with PFD, particularly those with associated poor bone quality, in order to decrease the risk of aseptic loosening and early failure. [15] This can be challenging depending on the degree of deformity and the morphology of the medullary canal. 3D reconstructive imaging can be used to better characterize the sites of maximal deformity, the length of affected bone, overall bone quality, and determine canal diameter at multiple levels.

For this patient, preoperative 3D planning was used to determine our optimal component size and stem length given the predicted post-correction morphology of the femoral canal. Determining implant specifications preoperatively rather than intraoperatively decreases overall operative time and decreases the risk for cortical perforation or fracture during canal preparation. [15]

Despite the surgical advantages of 3D planning and printing patient specific instrumentation, the cost and time required for use should be considered. A 3D planning service and the production of custom resection guides as described above may cost between $4,000 and $7,500 de-
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