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Abstract

In the majority of retrievals, femoral heads and cups are sent for analysis with no designation as to positioning in-vivo. In addition, when patients retain the femoral prosthesis, evidence of neck impingement damage is lost. In this case report we studied head and cup wear-patterns and stripe damage in a novel case that included a large diameter metal-on-metal THA that was retrieved with the head still fused to the stem. This provided anatomical positioning of head wear-pattern and stripe damage as represented by the orientation of the femoral stem in radiographic images. We investigated (1) size, shape and location of head and cup wear-patterns, (2) cup-to-stem impingement damage, and (3) head stripe-wear. The head wear-pattern was elliptical in shape, 40mm diameter with area covering 2200 sq.mm. Its hemispherical ratio was 56% with aspect ratio 1.2 and typical of large-diameter MOM retrievals. Wear-pattern extended from 12° above superior head-margin to approximately 40° inferior to polar axis. Centroidal vector in coronal plane was 13° posterior to polar axis and in transverse plane was 19° superior to polar axis. These vector data corresponded well with biomechanical predictions of resultant load axes in gait studies. Stripe damage was identified on the head, and the cup rim could thereby be aligned to verify neck impingement and also head subluxation mechanisms. Cup wear-pattern was not centrally contained, indicating this patient had experienced repetitive edge-wear during gait. Thinning of the cup rim by 350-400μm indicated that posterior impingement with repetitive anterior subluxation of the head had created this edge-wear.
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Introduction

Contemporary MOM bearings offered perceived benefits of lower wear compared to metal-on-polyethylene and with use of large-diameter heads offered additional benefits of greater motion and superior stability [1,2]. With a variety of designs and both positive [3-5] and negative reports [6-10] now emerging with regard to MOM in both total hip arthroplasty (THA) and resurfacing arthroplasty (RSA), many studies have focused on issues regarding...
metal alloys, cup design, surgical positioning [11-13] and effects of “edge loading” [7,9,14-19].

From studies of retrieved ceramic-on-ceramic (COC) bearings it was apparent that there were two types of wear patterns in THA. The anticipated ‘normal’ mode of everyday activities [20,21] created more or less circular wear patches on the head and inside the cup [22,23]. However, COC cases with 1 to 22 years follow-up also revealed evidence of a crescent-shaped type of stripe wear across femoral heads and around the rims of ceramic cups [22,24-27]. The latter wear damage was attributable to edge-wear of ceramic cups. Retrieval studies of large diameter MOM bearings also revealed these normal wear patterns, termed main wear zones (MWZ), on both heads and cups [28-30]. Evidence of stripe wear mechanisms appeared to indicate 3rd-body wear damage, plastic deformation due to rim impingement, or both phenomena [31,32].

In the majority of retrieval cases, retrieved femoral heads and cups are sent for analysis with no designation as to their original anatomical positioning. In addition, when patients retain the femoral prosthesis, the signs of impingement damage on femoral necks are lost. We therefore sought evidence of wear patterns and stripe wear mechanisms in a large diameter THA that was retrieved with the head still fused to the femoral stem. This gave us the anatomical position of the femoral head as represented by the orientation of the stem. We investigated (1) size, shape and location of the MWZ areas on the femoral head and cup, (2) damage from cup-to-stem impingement, and (3) stripe damage.

Consent

The patient signed an IRB approved consent allowing review of her records and analysis of her hardware as well as verbally consented to publication of her case.

Materials and Methods

A primary MOM total hip was performed in February 2008 on a 35 year old female patient (BMI 38.5) for avascular necrosis. Medical history included a single seizure associated with a febrile illness seven years prior to her hip replacement surgery. X-ray images demonstrated acetabular cup position with 55° inclination and 39° anteversion estimated from the AP pelvis radiograph (Figure 1). Eight months postoperatively the patient developed headaches, memory loss, vertigo, and aura-like symptoms which progressed to seizures and she consulted with a neurologist. At 12 months postoperatively, the patient presented with progressive hip pain and sounds of “popping”, gross crepitating, and crepitus sensations with motion. Blood samples were collected at this time, revealing her serum cobalt level was 126.8 ppb and her serum chromium level was 64 ppb. Her ultrasound showed a small fluid collection along the femoral head and neck (2.4x3.9 cm) and the echocardiogram was positive for mild mitral and trace tricuspid regurgitation. There was no change in component position and there was no evidence of loosening or osteolysis.

Revision surgery was performed at 32 months postoperatively (November 2010). At surgery, upon entering the hip capsule a dark, serous fluid was observed along with synovitis. The implants were well fixed. Several attempts were made to remove the femoral head in order to retain the well-fixed stem. However, the head appeared fused to the trunnion and a femoral osteotomy was performed to remove the stem. Synovial tissue and capsule were sent to pathology along with the dark, serous fluid for culture. The pathologist reported all cultures were negative and the histology indicated an inflammatory response consistent with aseptic lymphocyte-dominated vasculitis-associated lesion (ALVAL).

Following revision, the patient’s mental status normalized and headaches and seizures stopped. Her serum cobalt level gradually declined and 11 months after revision it measured 1.1 ppb. The patient has had some persistent hip pain since the revision surgery, possible related to her lumbar spine disease.

Retrieved components included a 50mm Magnum head (modular -3mm taper adapter), a M2a Magnum cup (56mm outer diameter), and a lateralized Taperloc stem (Porous coated, size 10 x 140mm, Biomet, Warsaw, IN). The components were studied visually by stereo-microscopy, white-light interferometry (WLI: NewView 600 Zygo Corp.), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM: EVO
Surface features were graded visually and verified by stereo-microscopy. Wear patterns (MWZ) were measured by included angles and both MWZ area and hemispherical-area ratio (%Hemi) were calculated [31]. Centroidal vectors were calculated by measuring the arcs of the wear pattern in multiple views (Figure 2). The cup MWZ extended up to the cup rim, representative of ‘edge-wear’, and assessed by the rim-bearing angle. SEM and energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS: Bruker, Inc.) were used to investigate surface details and possible material transfer.

**Results**

This retrieval was novel because the fused head revealed the exact orientation of the MWZ in vivo. This was elliptical in shape and extended from 12° above the superior edge to approximately 40° inferior of the polar axis. The MWZ was approximately 40mm in diameter, with 2200mm² area. The MWZ hemispherical ratio was 56% with aspect ratio 1.2, typical of MOM retrievals [31]. In the coronal plane, the centroidal vector was approximately 13.3° posterior to the polar axis and in the transverse plane, the centroidal vector was approximately 18.8° superior to the polar axis (Figure 3).

The cup had not been marked at revision so the orientation in vivo was unknown. The orientation was estimated based on experience with our previous study of 60 MOM retrievals [31]. The cup MWZ extended up to the rim over a 157° arc (Figure 4). It descended approximately 36mm into the cup, and covered an area of 1275mm². Thinning of the cup rim and loss of the cup ‘bevel’ was identified in a 90° arc inferiorly (Figure 4).

Stripe wear was identified on the femoral head and the cup rim could be aligned to verify both neck impingement and head subluxation mechanisms. Although stripe wear was readily identified by SEM (Figure 5), details of head wear-patterns were greatly obscured by metallic contaminating layers. EDS-imaging identified Fe and Ni elements, typical of stainless-steel instruments used during the revision operation (figure 6). Circumferential markings on the posterior femoral neck represented damage caused by the cup rim during impingement (Figure 7). Thinning of the cup rim by 350-400µm (Figure 4) indicated that posterior impingement resulted in repetitive anterior subluxation of the femoral head, thereby creating edge wear.
Discussion

Wear analysis can be severely limited by the fact that retrieved bearings seldom come marked to identify positioning in vivo [33]. With MOM bearings it was possible to identify the normal wear patterns in hip simulator studies [34]. Our underlying assumption for the modular femoral heads that came with no markings was that the narrowest margin between the wear pattern and the base of the femoral head represented the superior site (Fig. 3b). We applied this method to MOM retrieval studies to identify the wear patterns created in vivo and thereby deduce the implant orientations [31,35]. This also became a prerequisite for determining stripe wear locations produced on femoral heads, where the cups reached extremes of hip motion. This 50mm MOM case was loaned to the DARF Center with the femoral head fused to the trunnion. It was therefore an ideal opportunity to evaluate head and cup wear patterns and relate these to their radiographic orientations.

Head wear-patterns were subtended by angles of 106° and 141° in the transverse and coronal planes, respectively. This indicated that the patient had not achieved full range of flexion, thought to be due to her posterior impingement. The MWZ centroidal vectors on the head wear pattern were positioned at 18.8° in the coronal plane and 13.3° in the transverse plane. The former corresponded well with the average 16° centroidal-area vector (CA) in the prior retrieval study [31]. Assuming a femoral head at a neutral inclination of 45° and a femoral stem oriented at 8° to the vertical, this wear pattern would be orientated 19° medial to the vertical plane. This corresponded well with biomechanical predictions from gait studies and from instrumented prostheses [36,37]. Following analysis, the head was successfully removed using the appropriate instrumentation.

In this retrieval, much of the stripe wear damage was obscured by stainless steel contamination originating from instrumentation used during revision surgery. Nevertheless the SEM analysis did indicate polar stripe damage indicative of impingement at extremes of hip excursion. This was confirmed by the circumferential neck marking indicative of impingement by the cup rim, these being distinct from damage produced by head removal attempts. The asymmetry in the wear pattern also indicated that impingement had been a habitual occurrence.

The cup with 55° lateral inclination would not be considered adversely positioned. Nevertheless the wear pattern in the cup was not well contained, i.e. it extended up to the cup rim and circumferentially. Therefore this patient had experienced edge wear during gait and extensive cup thinning was evident, thought to be due to the repetitive subluxation of the femoral head during impingement. Thus there were multiple implant sites capable of releasing metal debris [35]. Trunnion fretting and corrosion aspects are not discussed here, being the subject of a follow-up paper.

In conclusion, this MOM retrieval with a fused head provided confirmation of the manner in which we use wear patterns on heads and cups to deduce implant orientation in vivo. Wear patterns on femoral heads provide a good indication of habitual wear in vivo while cup wear patterns provide insight as to whether the wear was contained centrally in the cup (ideal) or in fact demonstrated adverse edge wear. Implant orientation is also a prerequisite to interpreting significance of stripe damage on heads and whether or
not it relates to possible cup-to-neck impingement positions creating an edge wear mechanism. This makes stripe analysis possible, even when the femoral stem is not available for inspection.
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