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Introduction

Achieving stable fixation in total hip arthroplasty (THA) in the presence of limited proximal femoral bone stock is a frequent challenge in the revision setting but less common for primary hip arthroplasty. We describe an uncommon scenario in which options for femoral fixation of a primary hip arthroplasty were limited as the femoral diaphysis was almost completely filled by a long stemmed revision knee replacement.

Conventional hip arthroplasty components have historically used stems greater than 150mm [5]. Several companies have introduced shorter versions of a conventional stem design with lengths less than 150mm [1]. These are popular in Asian races of short stature who often have a narrow femoral diaphysis and curved femurs that conflict with traditional length stems. Hip resurfacing and metaphyseal stems that do not enter the diaphysis, are design alternatives to conventional stems. Metaphyseal stems are short curved designs that preserve some of the calcar femorales and attempt to load the proximal femur in a more physiological manner [3,12]. Short stems and resurfacing implants have an occasional indication for treating hip joint pathology associated with femoral deformity such as after femur malunion or osteotomy [14]. The described case highlights the conflict of insufficient diaphysis available for hip implant fixation.

Case Presentation

A 63 year old female presented with incapacitating bilateral hip pain. She had significant co-morbidities which included rheumatoid arthritis, steroid induced osteoporosis, and diabetes. Her Charnley grading was C. She had bilateral primary total knee arthroplasty three years prior to presentation. One year following her primary knee arthroplasties, she sustained bilateral distal femoral fractures after a fall that were managed by revision knee arthroplasties. Her left knee revision had a long stemmed condylar femoral prosthesis while the right was revised with a very long stemmed condylar femoral prosthesis that ended 10cm from the lesser trochanter. This was combined with a strut allograft.

At presentation she was able to ambulate minimally with a frame. Her presenting problem was incapacitating bilateral trochanteric and groin pain, with deteriorating weight-bearing capability and rest pain. Physical examination showed a frail patient with a crouched stance and a support-dependant stiff-hip antalgic gait. Both hips had audible crepitus on movement, fixed flexion deformities with limited flexion and no rotation. Her right knee had a range of motion of 35 – 80 degrees and her left knee 35 – 85 degrees. Her initial radiographs showed bilateral cemented revision knee arthroplasties that filled most of the femoral diaphyses. There was also severe generalised osteopenia...
with rheumatoid changes in both hips including protrusio acetabuli and complete chondral space loss.

Preoperative templating showed that a prosthesis of traditional stem length could not be accommodated due to the stemmed knee component (Figure 1) and therefore a shorter neck-preserving implant was selected.

The patient underwent a right total hip arthroplasty using a posterior approach. A LINK® TOP cementless acetabular cup and CFP® (Collum Femoris Preserving) short stem were used (Waldemar Link GmbH & CO, Hamburg, Germany) with intentional lengthening of 15mm to restore her premorbid length. Six weeks postoperatively she had a marked improvement in right hip function with no pain, and an improved range of motion. She had persisting difficulty in ambulating due to the left hip pain and stiffness. The left hip was then replaced three months following her right hip surgery with the same implant type and sizes. No post-operative complications were observed.

At one year she reported an improvement in her quality of life and was observed to be ambulating faster with a frame in a more erect position. At five years and eleven years post-surgery she was very content with her hips, and also felt that she had improved knee motion which was attributed to the resolution of the pre-operative hip flexion deformities that had induced her crouched posture. Serial radiographs at one year, five years and eleven years demonstrated stable implants (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

The femoral component of a total hip replacement (THR) serves an essential role in transmitting the forces
generated at the centre of rotation to the proximal femur. The femoral component historically has a segment that engaged the femoral diaphysis and a variety of lengths have been used [6,8,17,18]. Short stems were initially designed to achieve a more anatomical pattern of stress distribution by loading the femur proximally. Short stems claim several potential advantages which include reducing proximal stress shielding and bone resorption as well as thigh pain, [7] eliminating femoral proximal-distal mismatch, soft and hard tissue preservation, enhanced proximal bone remodeling, less blood loss, shortened postoperative rehabilitation and recovery, minimized instrumentation, fewer inventory costs and simplified femoral revisions. [4,9,13]

A number of classification systems for the short stem have been proposed [3,6,11] mostly based on the stem length, intended site of primary stability, and level of osteotomy. The JISRF [9,10] classification of stems includes four categories; 1) Head stabilized 2) Neck stabilized 3) Metaphyseal stabilized and 4) Conventional (Metaphyseal/ Diaphyseal) stabilized. The LINK® CFP (Collum Femoris Preserving) prosthesis is a type 2 or “neck stabilized” short stem. It is an un cemented short stem prosthesis that preserves the femoral neck and proximal cancellous bone. It was primarily developed for biologically young and active patients. Pipino et al, who developed the CFP short stem, reported excellent (82%) clinical mid-term results [15]. At 25 years [16] he reported 97% ‘good’ clinico-radiographic outcomes and a survival rate of almost 100%. He proposed that preserving “healthy” tissue, which includes the femoral neck, has the advantage of maintaining the osteoarticular architecture that would maximize mechanical stability and optimize distribution of mechanical loads, which will then favour enhanced osteointegration and bone remodelling.

This patient was neither young nor active, and had osteoporosis which are all relative contra-indications for a neck preserving short stem prosthesis. The decision to use a short stemmed implant in this patient was a controversial decision made after consideration of her limited and high risk options. She had undergone previous bilateral revision knee arthroplasties and the long stems of her femoral components had consumed most of the femoral diaphysis. This case emphasizes the importance of pre-operative templating which clearly showed that the use of a stem of conventional length was not possible. The alternate surgical option was to also revise her functioning long-stemmed knee components, which could even lead on to total femoral replacements. Acknowledging the relative contraindications associated with her suboptimal bone quality it was elected to proceed with a less invasive surgical option and use a short stemmed press-fit device.

Conclusion

This case emphasizes the importance of preoperative templating as an important part of pre-operative planning [2]. Confronted with limited high risk options, this case also demonstrates the successful use of a short-stemmed device to permit hip arthroplasty in the presence of inadequate femoral bone stock as a consequence of previous surgery or deformity.
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